Toxics Reduction Public Summary Report

Facility Information

| Company Name | Atotech Canada Inc | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Facility Name | Burlington Facility | |
| Facility Physical Address | 1180 Corporate Drive, Burlington, ON L7L 5R6 | |
| Facility Mailing Address | 1180 Corporate Drive, Burlington, ON L7L 5R6 | |
| Spatial Coordinates of Facility | Latitude: 43.3793 Longitude: -79.7815 | |
| Number of Employees | 47 | |
| NPRI ID | 1109 | |
| 2 Digit NAICS Code | 32 | |
| 4 Digit NAICS Code | 3259 | |
| 6 Digit NAICS Code | 325999 | |

Parent Company Information

| Parent Company Name | Atotech BV |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Address | Strijkviertel 35-2 De Meern, 3454 PJ Netherland |
| Percent Ownership (if available) | 100% |

Facility Contact

| Public Contact | Susan Guida |
|----------------|--|
| Position | Site Manager |
| Address | 1180 Corporate Drive, Burlington, ON L7L 5R6 |
| email | sue.guida@atotech.com |
| Phone | 289-288-4440 |
| Fax | 905-332-0841 |

Date of Summary

Reporting Year 2016 Summary Date June 1, 2019

Toxics Substances Reported

Nickel, Hexavalent Chromium, Total Phosphorous, Cobalt, PM10, PM2.5

Copy of Certification:

As of June 1, 2019, I certify that I have read the report on the toxic substance reduction plan(s) for the toxics listed above and am familiar with their contents and to my knowledge the information contained in the report(s) is factually accurate and complies with the Toxics Reduction Act, 2009 and Ontario Regulation 455/09 (General) made under that Act.

The original version of this report is signed off by:

Highest Ranking Employee: Susan Guida

Title: Site Manager

Toxics Reduction Public Summary Report

Substance Information and Plan Objective

| Substance Name | NICKEL (AND ITS COMPOUNDS EXCEPT NICKEL CARBONYL) |
|-----------------------------|--|
| CAS# | 7440-02-0 |
| Report Date: | 31-May-19 |
| Plan Date: | 31-Dec-12 |
| Plan Objectives and Targets | We continue to strive to eliminate or reduce the use of toxic substances at the facility where possible. This plan was used to determine the technical and economic feasibility of each reduction option to determine which, if any, are viable for implementation at this time. Preparation of this plan and efforts to implement will contribute to the prevention of pollution and specifically to protection of the health of Ontarians and the local environment. |

Toxics Substance Accounting and Comparison

| Pathways | 2015 | 2016 | Delta | Delta % |
|--|---|------------|----------|---------|
| Units reported | tonnes | tonnes | | |
| U - Enters the Process (Raw Materials) | >10 to 100 | >10 to 100 | >1 to 10 | 9% |
| C - Created | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | NA |
| P - In a product that leaves the process | >10 to 100 | >10 to 100 | >1 to 10 | 8% |
| Summary of reasons for changes between current year and previous year. | Increased production of nickel products | | | |

On-site releases from the facility to air, water and land, as well as on and off-site disposal and off-site recycling can be viewed by searching for this facility at http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp?lang=en

| Summary of steps taken during the previous calendar year to implement the plan and a summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken. | None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible |
|--|--|
| 1 | None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible |
| Summary of additional actions taken during the previous calendar year that impacted the toxic, and a summary of the steps and toxics reductions achieved as a result of those actions. | None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible |
| Summary of differences between steps taken and those set out in the plan. | None |
| Description of amendments to the plan. | None |

Atotech Canada Inc. - Burlington Facility Toxics Reduction Public Summary Report

Substance Information and Plan Objective

| Substance Name | CHROMIUM (VI) COMPOUNDS |
|-----------------------------|--|
| CAS# | 18540-29-9 |
| Report Date: | 31-May-19 |
| Plan Date: | 31-Dec-12 |
| Plan Objectives and Targets | We continue to strive to eliminate or reduce the use of toxic substances at the facility where possible. This plan was used to determine the technical and economic feasibility of each reduction option to determine which, if any, are viable for implementation at this time. Preparation of this plan and efforts to implement will contribute to the prevention of pollution and specifically to protection of the health of Ontarians and the local environment. |

Toxics Substance Accounting and Comparison

| Pathways | 2015 | 2016 | Delta | Delta % |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Units reported | tonnes | tonnes | | |
| U - Enters the Process (Raw Materials) | >100 to 1000 | >10 to 100 | > -10 to -100 | -61% |
| C - Created | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| P - In a product that leaves the process | >100 to 1000 | >10 to 100 | > -10 to -100 | -61% |
| Summary of reasons for changes between current year and previous year. | Decreased product | ion of hexavalent ch | romium products | |

On-site releases from the facility to air, water and land, as well as on and off-site disposal and off-site recycling can be viewed by searching for this facility at http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp?lang=en

| Summary of steps taken during the previous calendar year to implement the plan and a summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken. | None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible |
|--|--|
| Summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken | None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible |
| Summary of additional actions taken during the previous calendar year that impacted the toxic, and a summary of the steps and toxics reductions achieved as a result of those actions. | Upgrade to Chrome blending Scrubber (Source ID S5). Reduction of hexavalent chromium emissions not quantifiable. |
| Summary of differences between steps taken and those set out in the plan. | Not quantifiable |
| Description of amendments to the plan. | None |

Atotech Canada Inc. - Burlington Facility Toxics Reduction Public Summary Report

Substance Information and Plan Objective

| Substance Name | Phosphorus, Total |
|-----------------------------|---|
| CAS# | |
| Report Date: | 31-May-19 |
| Plan Date: | 30-Dec-13 |
| Plan Objectives and Targets | The facility's intent is to reduce the use of toxics substances , specifically phosphorus at the facility. Reduction options and implementation options will be achieved through process modifications, spill and leak prevention intiatives, procedural improvements, improved inventory management program and employee education and training. |

Toxics Substance Accounting and Comparison

| Pathways | 2015 | 2016 | Delta | Delta % |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|
| Units reported | tonnes | tonnes | | |
| U - Enters the Process (Raw Materials) | >10 to 100 | >10 to 100 | > -1 to -10 | -6% |
| C - Created | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| P - In a product that leaves the process | >10 to 100 | >10 to 100 | > -1 to -10 | -5% |
| Summary of reasons for changes between current year and previous year. | Decreased production | n of phosphorus prod | lucts | |

On-site releases from the facility to air, water and land, as well as on and off-site disposal and off-site recycling can be viewed by searching for this facility at http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp?lang=en

| Summary of steps taken during the previous calendar year to implement the plan and a summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken. | None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible |
|--|--|
| Summary of additional actions taken during the previous calendar year that impacted the toxic, and a summary of the steps and toxics reductions achieved as a result of those actions. | None - All options implemented and quantified where feasible |
| Summary of differences between steps taken and those set out in the plan. | None |
| Description of amendments to the plan. | None |

Atotech Canada Inc. - Burlington Facility Toxics Reduction Public Summary Report

Substance Information and Plan Objective

| Substance Name | COBALT (AND ITS COMPOUNDS) | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| CAS# | 7440-48-4 | |
| Report Date: | 31-May-19 | |
| Plan Date: | N/A | |
| | | |
| Plan Objectives and Targets | First year of reporting - no changes to report on. | |

Toxics Substance Accounting and Comparison

| Pathways | 2015 | 2016 | Delta | Delta % |
|--|--|----------|----------|---------|
| Units reported | | tonnes | | |
| U - Enters the Process (Raw Materials) | | >1 to 10 | >1 to 10 | NA |
| C - Created | | 0 | 0 | NA |
| P - In a product that leaves the process | | >1 to 10 | >1 to 10 | NA |
| Summary of reasons for changes between current year and previous year. | First year of reporting - no changes to report on. | | | |

On-site releases from the facility to air, water and land, as well as on and off-site disposal and off-site recycling can be viewed by searching for this facility at http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp?lang=en

| Summary of steps taken during the previous calendar year to implement the plan and a summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken. | N/A |
|--|-----|
| Summary of additional actions taken during the previous calendar year that impacted the toxic, and a summary of the steps and toxics reductions achieved as a result of those actions. | N/A |
| Summary of differences between steps taken and those set out in the plan. | N/A |
| Description of amendments to the plan. | N/A |

Toxics Reduction Public Summary Report

Substance Information and Plan Objective

| Substance Name | PM10 - PARTICULATE MATTER <=10MICRONS | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| CAS# | | | |
| Report Date: | 31-May-19 | | |
| Plan Date: | 1-Dec-13 | | |
| Plan Objectives and Targets | The facility does not intend to implement a reduction option for particulate matter (PM10). Atotech will continue to investigate process efficiencies and continuous improvement efforts through management system objectives, business initatives and production requirements in an effort to reduce the creation of PM10 in the future. | | |

Toxics Substance Accounting and Comparison

| Pathways | 2015 | 2016 | Delta | Delta % |
|--|---------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Units reported | tonnes | tonnes | | |
| U - Enters the Process (Raw Materials) | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| C - Created | >0 to 1 | >0 to 1 | >0 to -1 | -0.5% |
| P - In a product that leaves the process | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Summary of reasons for changes between current year and previous year. | Insignificant | | | |

On-site releases from the facility to air, water and land, as well as on and off-site disposal and off-site recycling can be viewed by searching for this facility at http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp?lang=en

| Summary of steps taken during the previous calendar year to implement the plan and a summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken. | NA |
|--|----|
| Summary of additional actions taken during the previous calendar year that impacted the toxic, and a summary of the steps and toxics reductions achieved as a result of those actions. | NA |
| Summary of differences between steps taken and those set out in the plan. | NA |
| Description of amendments to the plan. | NA |

Toxics Reduction Public Summary Report

| Substance | Information | and Plan | Objective |
|-----------|-------------|----------|-----------|

| Substance Name | PM2.5 - PARTICULATE MATTER <=2.5MICRONS | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| CAS# | | | |
| Report Date: | 31-May-19 | | |
| Plan Date: | 1-Dec-13 | | |
| Plan Objectives and Targets | The facility does not intend to implement a reduction option for particulate matter (PM2.5). Atotech will continue to investigate process efficiencies and continuous improvement efforts through management system objectives, business initatives and production requirements in an effort to reduce the creation of PM2.5 in the future. | | |

Toxics Substance Accounting and Comparison

| Pathways | 2015 | 2016 | Delta | Delta % |
|--|---------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Units reported | tonnes | tonnes | | |
| U - Enters the Process (Raw Materials) | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| C - Created | >0 to 1 | >0 to 1 | >0 to -1 | -0.5% |
| P - In a product that leaves the process | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| Summary of reasons for changes between current year and previous year. | Insignificant | | | |

On-site releases from the facility to air, water and land, as well as on and off-site disposal and off-site recycling can be viewed by searching for this facility at http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp?lang=en

| Summary of steps taken during the previous calendar year to implement the plan and a summary of the toxics reductions achieved as a result of the steps taken. | NA |
|--|----|
| Summary of additional actions taken during the previous calendar year that impacted the toxic, and a summary of the steps and toxics reductions achieved as a result of those actions. | NA |
| Summary of differences between steps taken and those set out in the plan. | NA |
| Description of amendments to the plan. | NA |